The Responsible Minerals Initiative (RMI) invites all our stakeholders to share their comments and feedback on the revised RRA Methodology document, with a focus on the following areas for consultation:

1. Standards included in the Standards Comparison (refer to: Which standards are used in the RRA?):
   a. Are the criteria appropriate to select the standards included in the Standards Comparison?
   b. Are the appropriate standards included in the Standards Comparison?

2. Issue areas (refer to: What are the issue areas?): Considering the following three factors, are the appropriate issue areas covered by the RRA?
   a. Gravity of the measurable impact for people, communities and the environment;
   b. Expectations of the customers of, and investors in, mineral developers and producers; and
   c. Associated effects on corporate reputation.

3. Determination of the industry norm (refer to: What is the industry norm?)
   a. Is the methodology clear on how the industry norm is determined?

The consultation period runs from 15th March 2019 to 30th April 2019. The RMI will log all comments received. The RMI will work with its Mining Engagement Team and the Standards Advisory Group to review and consideration of the comments received.

As a result of the consultation and the review process, the RMI will finalize:

- The Risk Readiness Assessment methodology;
- The list of standards included in the Standards Comparison;
- The set of issue areas covered by the RRA.

Context:
The **Risk Readiness Assessment** (RRA) is a voluntary self-assessment and self-reporting tool for any minerals and metals producers and processors to assess and communicate their environmental, social and governance management practices and performance. The RRA uses benchmarked industry ‘norms’ derived from a comparison of commonly used voluntary sustainability standards (the Standards Comparison) in minerals supply chains and is representative of good management practice for 31 ‘risk areas’.

In 2018, the Responsible Minerals Initiative (RMI) committed to a regular [review process of the RRA](#) to ensure that:

1. The voluntary sustainability standards, issue areas and norms used for the Standards Comparison are up to date and representative of the current landscape in mineral supply chains; and,
2. External stakeholders are provided with opportunities, on a regular basis, to provide feedback and input on the voluntary sustainability standards, issue areas and norms used in the RRA.

The RMI worked with our Mining Engagement Team to revise the RRA methodology, review the list of standards included in the Standards Comparison and the issue areas covered by the RRA.